



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/709,483	11/13/2000	Oh-Nam Kwon	8733.307.00	4557	
30827	7590 08/21/2002				
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON; DC 20006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PHAM, THANH V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/709,483	KWON, OH-NAM			
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanh V Pham	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
		see NOTE helow):			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancell NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-14 4 9) Pa ge(N 6(s). 🚣	<u>n Grand</u>			
10.	OUDED/JEORY !	PRIMARY EXAMINER BY CENTER 2800			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Upon cursory review, the proposed amendment does not clearly place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant appears to argue that the Havemann et al. reference does not include "etching a portion of the substrate to form a groove using the photoresist pattern as a mask". Applicant is directed to col. 4, lines 39-40 which refers to fig. 1b of the reference to show how the etching is performed. The amenment of the specification could not overcome the 'prepared' substrate as mentioned before. Applicant's arguements rely on the proposed amendment which has not been entered.